

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT JODHPUR

CIVIL WRIT No. 72 of 2007

LEELA

V/S

U.O.I. & ORS.

Mr. RR VYAS, for the appellant / petitioner

Date of Order : 14.3.2007

HON'BLE SHRI N P GUPTA, J.

ORDER

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

The relief claimed in the writ petition is for a direction to the respondent to supply the details of the telephone calls as asked in Annex.1.

From perusal of Annex.1, it does not transpire, as to when it was given, and in the writ petition, it is alleged that it was given through her counsel on 19.9.2002. Admittedly, the petitioner had filed a complaint in the Consumer Forum, that has been dismissed on 5.6.2004. The grievance raised in the Consumer Forum was also, that the complainant asked for the details of the telephone calls, and has also sent registered notice in that regard, still neither telephone call list was sent, nor the telephone connection was restored, which was disconnected on the ground of non-payment. This application was moved before the District Consumer Forum and had been dismissed on 5.6.2004, against that, an appeal was filed, that also had

been dismissed on 2.8.2005.

It is contended that the petitioner approached the Consumer Forum on the ground of deficiency in service, while in the present writ petition, the petitioner does not seek to challenge the orders of the Consumer Forums, but only asked for a direction to the respondent to supply details of the telephone calls.

In my view, this precise relief was claimed before the Consumer Forum also, and that has been dismissed, against which appeal also has been dismissed, and since the petitioner has further remedy against the orders in the Appellate Forums, I do not find any sufficient ground to entertain the writ petition. The same is, therefore, dismissed summarily.

(N P GUPTA), J.

/tarun/